

# Computer Science Department

## RPE Presentation Evaluation Form

This form lists the established criteria for evaluating the RPE Presentation. You may find it useful to record your evaluation for each criterion using the numeric scale suggested.  
(Based on the PSU CS Ph.D. Comprehensive Examination policy approved Feb. 22, 2006)

Name of Presenter \_\_\_\_\_

*Suggested numeric scale:*

*4=Outstanding, definite Yes*  
*2=Weak, needs improvement*

*3=Passable, could use some improvements in areas*  
*1= Not sufficient, unacceptable*

### Oral Presentation:

1. Was the presentation well-organized; does it tell a coherent “story”?
2. Does the presentation summarize the problem, approach, and results obtained?
3. Does the presentation describe the problem addressed by the research, and explain why this problem is interesting and important within the context of the research area?
4. Does the presentation describe the research methodology applied?
5. Does the presentation describe the research results obtained?
6. Does the presentation explicitly characterize the original contributions of the student?
7. Does the presentation describe the relationship with relevant existing work (not merely summarizing the other work)?
8. Was the presentation audible and understandable?

Please keep for personal reference.

9. Was the presentation accessible to a general CS audience?

10. Does the presentation fit within the allowed time period?

**Question and Answer portion of the presentation:**

1. Did the student grasp reasonable questions promptly?

2. Did the student give succinct and correct answers?

3. Did the student engaged in dialogue with the questioner to clarify questions where necessary?

**Comments:**