# Scholarship Skills 2020 <br> Revise Mathematics 

Exercise due Monday, $3^{\text {rd }}$ February 2020

Apply what you've learned about writing mathematics to rewrite this proof. Don't be afraid to rewrite it, rather than tinker about with it in small ways. The $\mathrm{IA}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ source for this proof is on the web site, so you can edit it to create your own version.

## The Largest Prime

Suppose that there were a largest prime number $p_{i}$. Then consider the product $\prod_{j=0}^{p_{i}-1} p_{i}-j$. Then $\left(\prod_{j=0}^{p_{i}-1} p_{i}-j\right)+1$ cannot be divided evenly by any of the numbers up to $p_{i}, 2,3,4, \ldots, p_{i}$ because each of these divides the left factor evenly, but not the right factor, hence not their sum. (Recall that if $a_{1}$ divides $a_{2}$ and $a_{2}=a_{3}+a_{4}$ then if $a_{1}$ divides $a_{3}$, it will also divide $a_{4}$.) Since we are assuming $p_{i}$ is the largest prime, $\left(\prod_{j=0}^{p_{i}-1} p_{i}-j\right)+1$ can have no prime factors greater than $p_{i}$, hence $\left(\prod_{j=0}^{p_{i}-1} p_{i}-j\right)+1$ is a prime, and it is greater than $p_{i}$, since $\prod_{j=0}^{p_{i}-1} p_{i}-j \geq p_{i}$. This contradicts the maximality of $p_{i}$. Hence the assumption that $p_{i}$ is the largest prime must be false, and so there is no largest prime.

